

Ethics

It is the obligation of the authors to deliver to *Biota Colombiana* a precise and accurate version of the results or relevant data produced by their research. Likewise, all authors must declare not to have committed scientific misconduct such as plagiarism, falsification, selection of data or duplication of publications. In this sense, it is the duty of the authors to reference or declare the origin of all images, data and ideas that come from other authors or sources that have been used. Manuscripts should be submitted with a document signed by the main author declaring that the manuscript is unpublished and has not been submitted to another journal. The order of authorship should be a joint decision of all coauthors. The participation of each author in the work must be significant to take public responsibility for the content. All ideas and opinions expressed in the manuscripts are the sole responsibility of the authors. People who made helpful comments on the manuscript or collaborated with fieldwork, lab experiments, etc. and sources of funding can be named in the acknowledgments, but without titles or acronyms (e.g. Prof., Dr., Ph.D., etc.).

All manuscripts submitted to *Biota Colombiana* are treated as confidential. The evaluation process of manuscripts is anonymous for both authors and reviewers. That is, it is a double-blind process: reviewers are unaware of the identity of the authors and the authors are also unaware of the identity of the evaluators. There are at least two reviewers for each manuscript. Without the express permission of the Editorial Board of the journal any total or partial reproduction of the content for commercial purposes is prohibited.

Biota Colombiana assumes that authors who submit manuscripts to the journal have complied with the guidelines established in accordance with the ethical codes of their respective institutions. Consequently, in the Materials and Methods section it should be indicated that the authors followed appropriate ethical standards in the case of studies reporting specimen collection that required approval by a committee or entity that oversees their research projects. When animal experiments were conducted, it must be clearly indicated that the guidelines of the institution or a national research council have been followed, with respect to any national laws on the care and use of laboratory animals. It is best to indicate the dates and decree number of all pertinent laws and regulations.

For manuscripts that include results where specimens have been deposited in biological collections, the institutions receiving the specimens should be identified along with museum catalog numbers, identification data of the collectors and other pertinent data about the voucher specimens. In the case of tissue samples deposited in collections, as well as DNA sequences deposited in databases, the access numbers, plus the number of collection permits and / or permits to access genetic resources of the material must also be included.

Biota Colombiana includes among its procedures compliance with international ethical standards that ensure transparency in the publication process for all parties involved (authors, reviewers, editors) such as Cope (Committee on Publications Ethics): International Standards for Editors and Authors (<http://publicationethics.org/resources/international-standards-for-editors-and-authors>) and Principles of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (<http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>).

Additionally, when submitting a manuscript to *Biota Colombiana*, the authors agree to follow the Ethical Standards of Helsinki (www.onlineethics.org), agree to its publication and declare that all registered authors participated in key aspects of the research, that the study is scientifically valid, that it has not been published before or sent simultaneously to another journal.

Biota Colombiana, uses Turnitin software to cross-check manuscripts to identify any plagiarism, duplicate presentations or previously published articles and research fraud.

Conflicts of Interest

With the submission of an article to *Biota Colombiana* it is understood that the authors have no conflicts of interests related to benefits, advantages, profits, earnings or financial benefits or any type that could potentially influence their objectivity. Any such conflicts should be declared when they are directly or indirectly related with the paper submitted to the journal. It should be noted that the existence of a conflict of interest does not de facto prevent publication, as long as they are declared and clearly explained in a footnote or in the acknowledgments. The principal or corresponding author is responsible for informing the other authors, if applicable, of the existence of this policy and ensuring compliance. If there is no conflict, it shall be indicated as follows: "The author (s) declare (s) there are no conflicts of interest in connection with the publication of this manuscript."

Responsibilities of the editors

- To act in a balanced, objective and fair manner without any sexual, religious, political, or ethical discrimination.
- To evaluate, edit and publish academic contributions only for their academic merit without taking into account any commercial influence or conflict of interest.
- To accept and follow proper procedures to resolve any complaints or ethical misunderstandings or conflicts of interest. The publisher and the editorial board will act in accordance with regulations, policies and procedures established by the Institute in the Institutional Code of Ethics. Authors in any event will be given an opportunity to respond to possible conflicts of interest. Any complaint must be supported with documentation and evidence proving improper conduct.

Responsibilities of the reviewers:

- To contribute to the process of objective evaluation of manuscripts submitted for consideration to the journal, collaborating in a timely manner with the improvement in the quality of these original scientific research products.
- Maintain the confidentiality of the data supplied by the publisher, the editorial committee or authors, making proper use of such information by the means that are provided. However, it is the reviewer's decision to retain or copy the manuscript in the evaluation process.
- Inform the editor and the editorial committee in a timely manner, if the content of an academic contribution contains elements of plagiarism or substantially resembles other research published or in press.
- Report any potential conflict of interest (institutional, financial partnerships or other) with an academic contribution, between the reviewer and the authors. For such a case, and if necessary, the reviewer should withdraw his or her services for the evaluation of the manuscript.

Responsibilities of the authors:

- The authors must keep accurate records and supporting information of the data and analyses presented in the manuscript submitted for consideration to the journal. When the publisher or the editorial board of the journal requires this information (on reasonable grounds) the authors should provide it or facilitate access. Upon being requested, the original data will enter a chain of custody to ensure the confidentiality and protection of information by the journal.
- To confirm that the manuscript under evaluation is not being considered or submitted and / or accepted in another publication. If part of the content of this contribution has been published or presented in other media, the authors should clearly state so and cite the respective sources using academic citations. They must also submit a copy to the editor and the editorial board of any publication that may have overlapping or closely related content to the contribution under consideration. Additionally, the author must recognize the respective claims of the material reproduced from other sources. Any elements such as tables, figures, photos or patents, which require special permission to be reproduced, must be accompanied with a letter of acceptance of reproduction by the owners of the copyright of the product used.
- In research involving experiments with animals, authors must follow proper procedures that ensure good practices as established in the rules governing these activities.
- Declare any potential conflicts of interest that may exert undue influence at any time of the publishing process.
- Carefully review the page proofs, prior to the publication in the journal, reporting any errors that may have occurred and that should be corrected. If significant errors are detected after the paper has been published, authors should promptly notify the publisher and the editorial committee and cooperate with the journal to produce a list of the errors, as an appendix, notice or correction, or if deemed necessary to remove the paper from the published number.

Procedures to address unethical behavior:

- Unethical behavior by the authors or of which they are aware that is reported to the journal, will be examined first by the editor.
- The initial decision will be made by the editor, who should consult with the editorial board of the journal, and the institutional editorial committee, as the case requires.
- Evidence of the investigation will remain confidential.
- Unethical behavior that the editor considers minor may be treated between himself and the authors without further consultation. In any case, the authors should have the opportunity to respond to allegations of unethical behavior.
- In the case of unethical behavior of a serious nature, the authorities of the institution to which the authors are affiliated may be notified as well as any institution that supported the research. The editor, in consultation with the editorial board, will decide whether or not to involve those institutions, either by examining available evidence and/or through further consultations with a limited number of experts.